# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:21-CV-459-FDW-DCK

SCOTT E. DIMMICK, and JODIE C. DIMMICK,	)
Plaintiffs,	)
v.	) ORDER
PARTNERS INSURANCE AGENCY,	)
INC., EMERSON L. DIMMICK, and	)
KAREN A. DIMMICK,	)
Defendants.	)
	)

THIS MATTER IS BEFORE THE COURT on the "Motion Of Plaintiffs Scott E. Dimmick And Jodie C. Dimmick To File Amended Complaint" (Document No. 41). This motion has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), and immediate review is appropriate. Having carefully considered the motion, the record, and applicable authority, the undersigned will grant the motion.

### **BACKGROUND**

According to Plaintiffs' instant motion, Plaintiffs filed a Complaint against Defendants in the Middle District of Pennsylvania on February 26, 2021. See (Document No. 1). On August 31, 2021, the Middle District of Pennsylvania transferred the case to this district. See (Document No. 22). Defendants filed an Answer and counterclaims on December 2, 2021. See (Document No. 30). The Court dismissed one of Defendants' counterclaims (the conversion counterclaim) on June 13, 2022. See (Document No. 35). The Case Management Order indicates that October 3, 2022 is the deadline for filing motions to amend the pleadings. See (Document No. 40).

#### STANDARD OF REVIEW

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15 applies to the amendment of pleadings and allows a party to amend once as a matter of course within 21 days after serving, or "if the pleading is one to which a responsive pleading is required, 21 days after service of a responsive pleading or 21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f), whichever is earlier." Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)(1). Rule 15 further provides:

(2) Other Amendments. In all other cases, a party may amend its pleading only with the opposing party's written consent or the court's leave. The court should freely give leave when justice so requires.

Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)(2).

Under Rule 15, a "motion to amend should be denied only where it would be prejudicial, there has been bad faith, or the amendment would be futile." Nourison Rug Corporation v. Parvizian, 535 F.3d 295, 298 (4th Cir. 2008) (citing HCMF Corp. v. Allen, 238 F.3d 273, 276-77 (4th Cir. 2001)); see also, Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962). However, "the grant or denial of an opportunity to amend is within the discretion of the District Court." Pittston Co. v. U.S., 199 F.3d 694, 705 (4th Cir. 1999) (quoting Foman, 371 U.S. at 182).

#### DISCUSSION

Although the motion does not indicate Defendants' position on the requested amendment, the Court reached out to counsel for the parties following review of the motion. Defendants' counsel indicated that they do not intend to file a response in opposition to the motion to amend. Furthermore, the undersigned is not persuaded that there is sufficient evidence of prejudice, bad faith, or futility to support the denial of the instant motion. After careful consideration of the record and the motion, the undersigned finds that Plaintiffs' motion to amend should be granted.

## IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the "Motion Of Plaintiffs Scott E. Dimmick

And Jodie C. Dimmick To File Amended Complaint" (Document No. 41) is **GRANTED**.

Plaintiff shall file an Amended Complaint on or before October 7, 2022.1

SO ORDERED.

Signed: October 4, 2022

David C. Keesler

United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The "Administrative Procedures Governing Filing and Service by Electronic Means," revised January 1, 2018, at Part II, Section A, Paragraph 8, provide that: "If filing a document requires leave of the Court, such as an amended complaint, the attorney shall attach the proposed document as an exhibit to the motion according to the procedures in IV. If the Court grants the motion, the filer will be responsible for electronically filing the document on the case docket."